

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiess COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/820,455	04/08/2004	Myles S. Douglas	ENDOLOG.054A	7278	
20995 7590 12/16/2008 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET			TYSON, MELANIE RUANO		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3773		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/16/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/820 455 DOUGLAS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Melanie Tyson 3773 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 and 31-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 and 31-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/820,455

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the applicant's amendment submitted on 16 September 2008. Claims 19-30 remain cancelled.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 and 31-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-18 and 31-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaolian et al. (U.S Patent No. 6,197,049) in view of Yang (U.S. Patent No. 6,379,382 B1). Shaolian discloses a stent graft comprising all the configurations as claimed (see columns 6-21). Shaolian further discloses the porosity characteristics of the sheath (44) may be either homogeneous throughout the axial

Application/Control Number: 10/820,455

Art Unit: 3773

length of the prosthesis or may vary according to the axial position along the prosthesis (for example, see column 6, lines 49-52). Shaolian teaches an embodiment in which the entire sheath is sufficiently porous to allow ingrowth, and an embodiment in which the central portion is nonporous to prevent ingrowth and the end portions are porous to allow ingrowth (for example, see column 6, lines 61-67). However, Shaolian fails to teach a specific embodiment in which the sheath is configured to inhibit sufficient cellular ingrowth at the proximal and distal end regions.

Yang discloses a stent graft comprising a stent and an ePTFE sheath (see entire document). Yang teaches the entire sheath is constructed so as to block the path of cell migration and ingrowth (for example, see column 8, lines 25-27). Yang further teaches that ingrowth is undesirable because if ingrowth reaches the inside of an implanted device, it might result in blockage of the body passageway in which the device had been implanted (for example, see column 2, lines 21-39). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the Shaolian's sheath such that it is also configured to inhibit sufficient cellular ingrowth through the proximal and distal end regions. Doing so would reduce the possibility of blockage in the healthy vessel.

With further respect to claims 5-10, 17, 31, 33, 34, and 38-43, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the sheath having a density, a distance between nodes, and a water pressure entry within the ranges claimed, since it has been held that where the general

Application/Control Number: 10/820,455

Art Unit: 3773

conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Tyson whose telephone number is (571)272-9062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:30-7 (max flex)

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melanie Tyson /M. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 3773 November 24, 2008

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773